

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N			
10/791,036	03/02/2004	Eric J. Hull	109909-136740	1261		
25943	7590 10/06/2006	EXAMINER				
	, WILLIAMSON & WYA	SMITH, SHEILA B				
	CENTER, SUITE 1900 TH AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
PORTLAND	, OR 97204	2617	•			
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/791,036		HULL ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Sheila B. Si	nith	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nations of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this compound of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
. 1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 Ju</i>	Ilv 2006.						
=									
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)□	Claim(s) 60-79 is/are pending in the	application	٦.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>60-72 and 74-79</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) 73 is/are objected to.			•					
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	r election red	guirement.					
	on Papers			'					
	·	o Evenine	_	•					
	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on is/are			abjected to by the f	Everniner				
10)	<del>- ' '                                 </del>			- •					
	Applicant may not request that any obje				, ,	<b>55</b> 4 4844 IV			
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to		•			• •			
		o by the Ex	ammer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.			
_	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the prior	ity documer	its have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau	ı (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certific	ed copies not receive	d.				
Attaches	Wa\								
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			I) Intension: Summer	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	•	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,		i) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Pape	No(s)/Mail Date		(	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 60-72, and 74-79 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. (U. S Patent Number 6,753,842) in view of McLaughlin et al. (U. S. Patent Number 4,975,694)

Regarding claim 60, Williams et al. discloses all of the claimed invention as set forth in the instant application, additionally Williams et at. discloses a mobile electronic communication device (106) comprising: a transceiver (112), a light unit (124), and a processor unit (126) coupled to the transceiver (112) and light unit (124), wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the light unit to output (which reads on column 4 lines 6-13 and exhibited in figure 1). However Williams et al. fails to disclose (a) having a plurality of light sources, (b) output light from a selected one of the light sources to indicate a source of a received message.

In the same field of endeavor McLaughlin et al. discloses a paging receiver variable color indicators. McLaughlin et al. further discloses (a) having a plurality of light sources (which reads on column 2 lines 4-15), (b) output light from a selected one of the light sources to indicate a source of a received message (which reads on column 2 lines 4-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Williams et al. by modifying the system and method for

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036

Art Unit: 2617

backlighting control in a wireless communication device with a plurality of light sources as taught by McLaughlin et al. for the purpose of informing the user of the message.

Regarding claim 61, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a mobile electronic communication device is configured to receive messages of two or more types, wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the light unit to output the light with modulation that depends on the received message's type (which reads on column 1 lines 41-49 and column 3 lines 23-35).

Regarding claim 62, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a electronic communication device of claim 60, wherein the selected one of the light sources being lit is associated with a contact, and the message is received from the associated contact (which reads on column 4 lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 63, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a light unit can simultaneously illuminate another light source to indicate that a message has been received from a contact associated with the other light source (which reads on column 3 lines 23-35).

Regarding claim 64, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a the processor unit is configured to cause the light unit to output light with modulation that depends on an age of a message received by the mobile electronic communication device (which reads on column 4 lines 1-21).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 65, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a modulated light has a color that depends on the relative age of a received message (which reads on column 1 lines 41-49).

Regarding claim 66, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a modulated light has a blinking rate that indicates a number of unread messages received from a contact (which reads on column 4 lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 67, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a message is a most recent message received from a contact (which reads on column 3 lines 23-35).

Regarding claim 68, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a message is an unread message received from the contact (which reads on column 4 lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 69, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a relative age is indicated using a plurality of predetermined age categories (which reads on column 1 lines 41-49).

Regarding claim 70, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a age category of the plurality of age categories is represented by a predetermined color of light that can be outputted by the light unit (which reads on column 3 lines 23-35).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 71, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a age category of the plurality of age categories is represented by a predetermined number of light flashes within a cycle (which reads on column 4 lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 72, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a message is a SMS message (which reads on column 3 lines 23-35).

Regarding claim 74, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a light unit comprises an LED circuit having a plurality of LEDS configured to selectively output light having a color selected from a set of a plurality of preselected colors (which reads on column 3 lines 23-35).

Regarding claim 75, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a mobile electronic communication device (106) comprising. a transceiver (112), and means coupled to the transceiver to output light (124) to indicate a source of a received message (which reads on column 3 lines 23-35).

Regarding claim 76, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a mobile electronic communication device is configured to receive messages of two or more types, wherein said means is configured to output light with modulation that depends on the received message's type (which reads on column 1 lines 41-49).

Regarding claim 77, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a means is configured to output light with modulation that depends on an age of a message received by the mobile electronic communication device (which reads on column 4 lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 78, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a output light is associated with a contact, and the message is received from the associated contact (which reads on column 3 lines 23-35).

Regarding claim 79, Williams et al. in view of McLaughlin et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 60) additionally, Williams et al. discloses a mobile electronic communication device is configured to receive messages of two or more types, wherein said means is configured to output light with modulation that depends on the received message's type and an age of the message received (which reads on column 1 lines 41-49).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 73 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 60-79 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-7847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 2, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER